



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,427	01/02/2002	Guenther Heinz	B01-085A	B01-085A 7207	
26683	7590 09/13/2004		EXAMINER		
THE GATES CORPORATION			TRAN, THUY VAN		
IP LAW DEI	PT. 10-A3 ATTA STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO 80202			3652	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/037,427	HEINZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
	Thuy v. Tran	3652	MG)		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered tin the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 28-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	: Action or form I	310-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		TO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 & 5</u> . 6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/037,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Invention I, claims 1-26 and 28-32 in the reply filed on May 3, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim 27 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 3, 2004.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether "a tensile cord" recited in line 2 is the same as "a tensile cord" recited in claim 1 or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/037,427

Art Unit: 3652

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 13-22, 28, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baranda et al. 6,739,433 B1.

Baranda '433 discloses an elevator lift system comprising a belt having an elastomeric body having a width (w) and a thickness (t) and having a pulley engaging surface having a plurality of ribs with angle of approximately 90°, Fig. 5, wherein the aspect ratio w/t is greater than 1, a plurality of tension cords (96) contained within the body and extending longitudinally, and at least one pulley (98) having a ribbed profile engaged with the pulley engaging surface.

Re. claims 9, 10, 21 and 22, the tensile cords comprise a conductive material (steel) wherein the resistance of the cords vary according to the load exerts on the belt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schroder-Brumloop et al. 6,138,799 in view of Robar et al. 6,633,159.

Schroder-Brumloop '799 discloses an elevator lift system comprising a belt having an elastomeric body having a width (w) and a thickness (t), a pulley engaging surface having a plurality of ribs with angle of approximately 90°, Figs 2 & 4, wherein the aspect ratio w/t is greater than 1, and at least one pulley (36) having a ribbed profile engaged with the pulley engaging surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,427

Art Unit: 3652

Robar '159 discloses a system for detecting defects in a flat rope having electrically conductive

tension cords by measuring resistance values from the cords.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to have utilized a load detecting system for the lift belt system of Schroder-Brumloop to determine

the lift belt condition as taught and suggested by Robar '159.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Each of the cited references separately discloses a system for measuring lift belt load.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-2558. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

M Dillo

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

TVT (1VT)